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Eco Labeling
Eco Labeling

“...Many truly voluntary standards that governments have developed (such as voluntary labeling programs related to energy efficiency or agricultural products) have nonetheless created substantial trade barriers.

Further, oftentimes voluntary standards may solely reflect domestic stakeholder interests rather than also those of the larger global trading community.”
Expanding Fracking and Gas Exports
Expanding Fracking and Gas Exports

What shale we do?

Shale-gas basins

Extraction: 2012

- Banned/moratorium
- Allowed
- Allowed & permits issued

*Restrictive laws
†Bids for permits invited

Sources: International Energy Agency; KPMG; press reports
Expanding Fracking and Gas Exports

World LNG Estimated March 2013 Landed Prices

- Altamira: $3.55
- Lake Charles: $3.01
- Cove Point: $3.34
- Spain: $15.25
- UK: $9.94
- Belgium: $9.86
- Korea: $17.75
- Japan: $19.75
- China: $19.35
- India: $15.70
- Rio de Janeiro: $16.84
- Bahia Blanca: $18.09
North American LNG Import/Export Terminals

Proposed/Potential

Import Terminal
PROPOSED TO FERC
1. Robbinston, ME: 0.5 Bcf/d (Kestrel Energy - Downeast LNG)
2. Astoria, OR: 1.5 Bcf/d (Oregon LNG)
3. Corpus Christi, TX: 0.4 Bcf/d (Cheniere – Corpus Christi LNG)
POTENTIAL U.S. SITES IDENTIFIED BY PROJECT SPONSORS
4. Offshore New York: 0.4 Bcf/d (Liberty Natural Gas)

Export Terminal
PROPOSED TO FERC
5. Freeport, TX: 1.8 Bcf/d (Freeport LNG Dev-Freeport LNG Expansion/FLNG Liquefaction)
6. Corpus Christi, TX: 2.1 Bcf/d (Cheniere – Corpus Christi LNG)
7. Coos Bay, OR: 0.9 Bcf/d (Jordan Cove Energy Project)
8. Lake Charles, LA: 2.4 Bcf/d (Southern Union - Trunkline LNG)
9. Hackberry, LA: 1.7 Bcf/d (Sempara – Cameron LNG)
10. Cove Point, MD: 0.75 Bcf/d (Dominion – Cove Point LNG)
11. Astoria, OR: 1.30 Bcf/d (Oregon LNG)
12. Lavaca Bay, TX: 1.38 Bcf/d (Excelerate Liquefaction)

PROPOSED CANADIAN SITES IDENTIFIED BY PROJECT SPONSORS
13. Kitimat, BC: 0.7 Bcf/d (Apache Canada Ltd.)

POTENTIAL U.S. SITES IDENTIFIED BY PROJECT SPONSORS
15. Brownsville, TX: 2.8 Bcf/d (Gulf Coast LNG Export)
16. Pascagoula, MS: 1.5 Bcf/d (Gulf LNG Liquefaction)
17. Elba Island, GA: 0.5 Bcf/d (Southern LNG Company)
18. Sabine Pass, TX: 2.6 Bcf/d (ExxonMobil – Golden Pass)
19. Plaquemines Parish, LA: 1.07 Bcf/d (CE FLNG)
20. Cameron Parish, LA: 0.16 Bcf/d (Walker LNG Services)
21. Ingleside, TX: 1.09 Bcf/d (Pangea LNG (North America))
22. Lake Charles, LA: 0.54 Bcf/d (Magnolia LNG)
23. Cameron Parish, LA: 0.20 Bcf/d (Gaslin Development)

POTENTIAL CANADIAN SITES IDENTIFIED BY PROJECT SPONSORS
24. Prince Rupert Island, BC: 1.0 Bcf/d (Shell Canada)
25. Goldboro, NS: 0.67 Bcf/d (Peridae Energy Canada)
26. Kitimat, BC: 2.0 Bcf/d (LNG Canada)

As of February 21, 2013
Investment Rules in TAFTA

LONE PINE RESOURCES INC.

Investor

v.

THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA

Party

NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUBMIT A CLAIM TO ARBITRATION UNDER CHAPTER ELEVEN OF THE NORTH AMERICAN FREE TRADE AGREEMENT
The Right to Mine??

1. INTRODUCTION

2. Lone Pine notifies Canada of its intent to bring an arbitration on behalf of its wholly owned subsidiary, Lone Pine Resources Canada Ltd. (the “Enterprise”), under Article 1117 of the NAFTA for the arbitrary, capricious, and illegal revocation of the Enterprise’s valuable right to mine for oil and gas under the St. Lawrence River by the Government of Quebec without due process, without compensation, and with no cognizable public purpose. Canada is responsible for Quebec’s acts under the NAFTA and applicable principles of international law.
Environment Obligations in Recent US FTAs

Environment Chapter:

1) Commitments to not waive or weaken environmental laws/policies in order to attract trade/investment;

2) Commitments to uphold obligations under a set of multilateral environmental agreements;

3) Commitments to address conservation challenges of the region, such as banning trade in illegally harvested timber, illegally taken wildlife, and ensuring sustainable fisheries management; and

4) Enforceability: Chapter will enforced in the same manor as commercial chapters in the agreement – with dispute settlement and trade sanctions.

EU Approach in Recent FTAs:

Trade and Sustainable Development Chapter:

1) Commitments to not waive or weaken environmental laws/policies in order to attract trade/investment;

2) Reaffirm commitments to implement in their laws and practices a set of MEAs or the MEAs to which they are Party;

3) Recognize the importance of having practices that improve forest law/enforcement, conserve and manage fish resources; and

4) Chapter is not subject to Dispute Settlement; disputes would be settled through consultations and potentially recommendations of a panel of experts.
Fossil-Fuel Subsidies

- Up to $75 billion per year in Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) countries goes to support oil, gas, and coal.

- The U.S. and a number of EU countries have already committed to eliminating fossil fuel subsidies.

**Recommendations for TTIP:**

- Commit to increased transparency of fossil fuel subsidies
- Develop final plans & timelines to phase-out subsidies to fossil fuel industry
- List subsidies to fossil fuel industry as prohibited subsidies.
- Ensure governments have the flexibility to put in place subsidies for clean energy programs, listing those subsidies as “green light”
Overview of Recommendations

1. Ensure that the chapter on Technical Barriers to Trade include a strong exception for environmental measures to ensure that green labeling and other green certification programs are not subject to trade litigation under TTIP;

2. Ensure that the US retains its ability to weigh whether US exports of natural gas are in the interest of the public;

3. Exclude investor-state and broad investor protections;

4. Include an ambitious, binding, enforceable environment chapter;

5. Include commitments to phase-out fossil fuel subsidies; protect green energy subsidies; and
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